Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/543,163	NAKANISHI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nathan Bloom	2624
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: Ready for Examination
(1) Nathan Bloom.	(3)	
(2) <u>David Metzger</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: 28 September 2007	Time: <u>12.10</u>	
Type of Interview:	ant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Claims discussed:	•	
2 and 23		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	ERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner proposed Amendment to fix the improper dependence dependencies were 1 and 18 respectively and gave permission	y issues of claims 2 and 23. for the Examiner's Amendm	Applicants' suggested that the proper ent.
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summater 	ne examiner will provide a record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	•	
		•
		<u> </u>
mml		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)
(, ibb.,em.		